

Supporting Syria and the region: Post-Brussels conference financial tracking

Report Thirteen
May 2022



FUNDED BY THE
EUROPEAN UNION

Supporting Syria and the region: Post-Brussels conference financial tracking May 2022

On 30 March 2021, representatives of 79 delegations - 52 States, 8 regional organisations and International Financial Institutions (IFI) as well as 16 United Nations (UN) agencies and 3 humanitarian organisations – came together to renew political, humanitarian, and financial commitments for supporting the future of Syria and the region at the Brussels V conference. Hosted by the European Union (EU) and co-chaired by the UN, the ninth pledging conference announced a total funding pledge of **US\$4.4 billion (€3.6 billion) for 2021** and multi-year pledges of close to **US\$2 billion (€1.7 billion) for 2022 and beyond**, in addition, IFIs and donors also announced **US\$7 billion (€5.9 billion) in loans¹ for 2021 and beyond**.

This is the thirteenth financial tracking report in a series that tracks financial contributions against pledges made in response to the Syrian crisis. This report was commissioned by the European Commission (EC), and it presents the contributions of donors against their pledges made at the Brussels V conference, as of 31 December 2021².

This report summarises the progress of contributions to respond in Syria and in the neighbouring refugee-hosting countries – Jordan, Lebanon, Turkey, Iraq, and Egypt.

It also provides a breakdown of grant pledges and contributions and loan pledges to Syria and the region. Information was gathered directly from all participating donors and multi-lateral development banks and supplemented by Brussels conference documentation and triangulated with data from the Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) Financial Tracking Service (FTS). A glossary of the terms used throughout is given at the end of the report, as are details of the data sources and methodology employed.

Throughout the report, the term “contribution” refers to the sum of all assistance reported at each mutually exclusive stage of the funding process – committed, contracted, and disbursed (see Annex A: Glossary).

This publication was produced with the financial support of the European Union. Its contents are the sole responsibility of Particip GmbH and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Union.

¹ Loan pledges refer to lending targets, which depend on the recipient's willingness and ability to comply with the terms and guarantee requirements of the lender.

² Throughout the report, the contributions data used is valid as of 31 December 2021 but is referred to as of December 2021.

Contents

1. Key results	3
2. Overview	5
3. Progress by Donor	6
Grant Contributions	6
Loan Pledges and Contributions	10
4. Progress by Recipient Country	11
Grant Contributions	11
Loan Contributions	13
5. In focus: Contributions to Jordan, Lebanon, Turkey, and Syria	14
Jordan	14
Lebanon	15
Beirut Explosion	17
Turkey	18
Syria	18
6. Sectors	19
COVID-19 Pandemic	21
7. Channels of Delivery	22
8. UN Coordinated Response Plans	24
Annexes	26
Annex A: Glossary	26
Annex B: Methodology	28

1. Key results

At the fifth Brussels conference on Supporting the Future of Syria and the Region held on 30 March 2021, the international community and governments of refugee-hosting countries came together to reaffirm their commitments to helping millions of affected civilians in Syria and Syrian refugees and the communities generously hosting them.

Thirty-nine donor countries and the European Commission pledged US\$4.4 billion (€3.6 billion) in grants to support humanitarian, development, and

stabilisation activities in 2021 in Syria and the region. Of these, thirteen made forward-looking pledges of US\$2 billion (€1.7 billion) for 2021 and beyond. The IFIs, donor countries and the European Commission also announced US\$7.0 billion (€5.9 billion) in loans. The purpose of this tracking mechanism is to provide an update on the funding delivered in the region and to ensure accountability of donors for the delivery of funding against the pledges made at the Brussels V conference.

The report published today notes that, as of December 2021:

- US\$7.5 billion (€6.3 billion) in grants was contributed by donors for the year 2021 for Syria and countries in the region most affected by the Syrian crisis (Jordan, Lebanon, Turkey, Iraq, and Egypt), exceeding by 73% the original conference pledge of US\$4.4 billion (€3.6 billion).
- For grants for 2022 and beyond, as of December 2021, donors made available US\$2.6 billion (€2.2 billion), exceeding by 30% the original conference pledge of US\$2 billion (€1.7 billion).
- For loans for 2021 and beyond, as of December 2021, donors and multi-lateral development banks made available US\$ 6.8 billion (€5.7 billion), representing 97% of the total original conference pledge of US\$7 billion (€5.9 billion) in loans to the governments of refugee-hosting countries.

These figures refer to new contributions, and do not take account of ongoing interventions funded with contributions from previous years.

EU member states and the European Commission pledged a total US\$2.5 billion (€2.1 billion), 57% of the 2021 pledge made at the Brussels V conference, and had contributed US\$4.4 billion (€3.7 billion) as of December 2021, exceeding by 79% their original conference pledge.

In terms of contributions, the three largest donors are the USA, the European Commission, and Germany accounting for 72% of 2021 grant contributions.

In terms of recipient countries, Syria received the largest 2021 grant contribution of US\$2.5 billion (€2.1 billion). The five largest donors accounted for 79% of total grant contributions for Syria in 2021, as follows: USA US\$957 million (€801 million), Germany US\$536 million (€449 million), European Commission US\$254 million (€212 million), the United Kingdom US\$122 million (€102 million), and Norway US\$104 million (€87 million). For 2022 and beyond, US\$266 million (€223 million) of grant contributions were already made available for Syria.

Lebanon received the second largest amount of 2021 grant contributions, at US\$1.5 billion (€1.2 billion). The three largest donors contributed 68% of the total: the USA US\$391 million (€327 million), Germany US\$329 million (€275 million) and the European Commission US\$291 million (€244 million). For 2022 and beyond, grant contributions of US\$599 million (€501 million) were already made available for Lebanon.

New loan pledges for Lebanon for 2021 and beyond amounted to US\$1.4 billion (€1.2 billion), of which US\$1.1 billion (€892 million) were already made available for Lebanon.

Turkey is the third largest recipient of grant contributions receiving US\$1.4 billion (€1.2 billion) in grant contributions for 2021. For 2022 and beyond, grant contributions of US\$82 million (€69 million) were already made available. Loan contributions for Turkey for 2021 and beyond amounted to US\$18 million (€15 million).

Jordan, received US\$984 million (€823 million) in grant contributions for 2021. For 2022 and beyond, grant contributions of US\$798 million (€668 million) were already made available. Loan contributions for Jordan for 2021 and beyond amounted to US\$7.8 billion (€6.5 billion), more than double the pledged loans of US\$3.1 billion (€2.6 billion).

Iraq and Egypt had received by December 2021 grant contributions of US\$597 million (€499 million) and US\$83 million (€69 million), respectively. For 2022 and beyond grant contributions for Iraq and Egypt that are already available as contributions, totalled US\$533 million (€446 million) and US\$14 million (€12 million), respectively.

As of December 2021, the funding requirements of the Syria Humanitarian Response 2021 Plan and the Syria Regional Refugee and Resilience 2021 Plan were 47% and 29% covered, respectively. The co-chairs urged donors to maintain or step up their efforts and renew their financial commitments in support of the continued delivery of lifesaving, protection, and resilience support in the region in 2021 and beyond, wherever possible on a multi-annual basis.

The region continues to face the challenges from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, while Lebanon faces a compounded crisis (financial, socio-economic, political) to which the blast on 4 August 2020 at the port of Beirut added another layer of complexity. This is being felt both by the Syrian people and their host communities. Donors have been reorienting programme priorities and pledging new funding to contribute to meeting these needs.

2. Overview

At the Brussels V conference on 30 March 2021, donors pledged a total of **US\$6.4 billion in grants for 2021 and beyond**: specifically, forty donors publicly pledged US\$4.4 billion in grants for 2021³, plus thirteen donors pledged a further US\$2 billion for 2022 and beyond⁴ 5.

As of December 2021, donors reported grant contributions for 2021 of US\$7.5 billion, exceeding by 73% the total conference pledge for 2021 (US\$4.4 billion). Of the forty donors who pledged for 2021, thirty-seven donors have met or exceeded their pledges. For 2022 and beyond, donors reported grant contributions totalling US\$2.6 billion, exceeding by 30% their original conference pledge (US\$2 billion).

For loans for 2021 and beyond, a total pledge was made of US\$7 billion for new loans, with IFIs pledging US\$6.2 billion, donor governments pledging US\$600 million, and the European Commission pledging US\$200 million. As of December 2021, 97% (US\$6.8 billion) of the new loan pledges made at the conference have been realised.

Since the tracking of pledges made at the Brussels conferences started in 2016, there has been a clear trend of contributions (committed, contracted, and disbursed amounts) exceeding pledges. Also in December 2021, the contributions exceeded the pledges made at Brussels V conference

The Brussels V conference participants highlighted the need to ensure the equitable provision of COVID-19 vaccines. Participants further highlighted the need for the vaccination campaign to be fully funded without diverting funding from the increasing humanitarian needs⁶. In response to the Beirut explosion in April 2020, the Reform, Recovery and Reconstruction Framework (3RF) was launched in December 2020. The 3RF is made up of two tracks: track one is focused on priority needs of the people-centred recovery and is estimated to require US\$584 million (US\$ 426 million required in the first year 2021); track two is focused on reform and reconstruction and is estimated to require US\$2 billion.


³ This includes four donors (United Arab Emirates, New Zealand, Romania, and Kuwait) that pledged at the Brussels V conference, that had not pledged at the Brussels IV conference. It does not include two donors (Poland and Brazil) that pledged at the Brussels IV conference but did not make new pledges at the Brussels V conference. In total forty donors made pledges at the Brussels V conference, which is one more than the thirty-nine that made pledges at the Brussels IV conference. Kuwait in fact contributed US\$59 million in 2020 for a multi-year programme, which was against the pledge recorded in the Brussels V conference pledge by Kuwait of US\$100 million.

⁴ Slovenia pledged €70,000 for grants for 2022 and beyond, which amounts to USD\$83,632, at the comparable exchange rate rather than the USD\$86,632 stated on the pledge statement.

⁵ The detailed list of all pledges can be found in the Financial Annex to the Brussels V conference co-chairs declaration: https://eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/pledging_statement_financial_annex_b5c_0.pdf

⁶ The full text of the co-chairs statement can be found at: https://eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/20210330_b5c_co_chr_final_en_1.pdf

Figure 1: Grant contributions against pledges, by year



3. Progress by Donor

Grant Contributions

As of December 2021, donors have contributed a total of US\$7.5 billion in grants to Syria and the region against their grant pledges of US\$4.4 billion for 2021⁷. This amounts to 173% of the total pledge for 2021 grants being firmly contributed to a country, sector, and delivery channel, by the end of the year.

This includes funds reported as committed, contracted, and disbursed. Of the forty donors who pledged for 2021, thirty-seven donors had made contributions that met or exceeded their pledges as of this mid-year point.

⁷ The 2021 grant contributions for all donors do not include contributions to the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey, as all pledges to the Facility were made before the end of 2019. The EU Regional Trust Fund in Response to the Syrian crisis, the Madad Fund is a pooled fund with approximately 90% of 6

contributions coming from the European Commission and the remaining 10% from 21 EU Member States, Turkey and the United Kingdom. Contributions made from Madad Fund in 2021 are included in the EC's grant contributions for 2021.

Figure 2: Grant contributions against pledges by donor 2021




Table 1: Grant contributions and pledges (USD\$), 2021

Donor	Pledged BVC	Contributions	Committed	Contracted	Disbursed
United States	596,208,273	1,865,300,204	-	702,064,131	1,163,236,073
European Commission	669,056,153	1,813,918,757	1,149,496,004	79,793,309	584,629,444
Germany	1,121,863,799	1,762,991,605	-	11,064,367	1,751,927,238
United Kingdom	286,312,849	327,580,829	-	-	327,580,829
Canada	262,509,929	297,173,203	-	-	297,173,203
Japan	210,223,660	210,224,570	-	-	210,224,570
Netherlands	180,514,530	195,103,288	-	-	195,103,288
Norway	189,281,912	189,932,568	-	-	189,932,568
France	114,611,708	141,079,268	1,194,743	-	139,884,524
Denmark	112,413,682	121,981,140	-	23,687,169	98,293,971
Sweden	95,569,173	116,303,912	-	-	116,303,912
Italy	53,763,441	81,702,249	-	-	81,702,249
Switzerland	64,794,816	65,291,668	-	-	65,291,668
Hungary	5,043,476	45,163,977	-	-	45,163,977
Qatar	100,000,000	40,828,024	-	-	40,828,024
Belgium	21,505,376	36,350,847	-	-	36,350,847
Kuwait	100,000,000	34,214,601	-	12,400,000	21,814,601
Finland	26,164,875	31,140,092	-	-	31,140,092
Ireland	27,479,092	28,671,777	7,992,485	-	20,679,292
Australia	19,394,880	24,049,651	-	-	24,049,651
Austria	21,744,325	22,939,068	-	3,584,229	19,354,839
Spain	7,874,072	18,687,935	1,209,559	418,160	17,060,216
Republic of Korea	18,100,000	18,100,000	18,100,000	-	-
United Arab Emirates	30,000,000	15,392,476	-	-	15,392,476
Luxembourg	7,765,830	9,293,331	-	-	9,293,331
Czech Republic	8,447,489	8,844,090	-	-	8,844,090
Iceland	1,578,106	1,578,106	-	-	1,578,106
Slovak Republic	1,194,743	1,525,540	1,194,743	-	330,797
New Zealand	1,443,001	1,443,001	-	-	1,443,001
Liechtenstein	718,143	1,180,489	-	-	1,180,489
Bulgaria	179,211	844,915	665,704	-	179,211
Estonia	776,583	777,938	-	-	777,938
Lithuania	59,737	606,495	-	-	606,495
Slovenia	47,790	561,529	-	-	561,529
Portugal	104,313	522,473	-	-	522,473
Croatia	200,000	319,474	-	-	319,474
Romania	179,211	179,211	-	-	179,211
Malta	89,606	89,606	-	-	89,606
Cyprus	59,737	59,737	-	-	59,737
Greece	59,737	59,737	-	-	59,737
Totals	4,357,333,259	7,532,007,383	1,179,853,238	833,011,365	5,519,142,780

Of the same forty grant donors, thirteen donors made forward-looking pledges for grants for 2022 and beyond, totalling US\$2 billion. As of December 2021, these thirteen donors had contributed a total of US\$2.6 billion in grants to Syria and the region against their 2022 and beyond pledge. This exceeds by 30% the total pledge for grants for 2022 and beyond already being firmly contributed to a country, sector, and delivery channel. Moreover, 82% of the total pledge was contracted by the end of the year.

Germany provided 75% (US\$2 billion) of the forward-looking contributions. Most of the remaining contributions were provided by the Sweden (US\$206 million), Netherlands (US\$200 million), France (US\$140 million), and Switzerland (US\$65 million). Some donors, such as the European Commission, are not yet able to report contributions for 2022 and beyond, due to internal annual budget approval processes.

Figure 3: Grant contributions against pledges, by donor 2022 and beyond




Table 2: Grant contributions and pledges (USD\$), 2022 and beyond


Donor	Pledged	Contributions	Committed	Contracted	Disbursed
Germany	954,599,761	1,958,251,002	503,380,167	1,454,870,835	-
Sweden	113,127,504	205,604,407	75,861,301	107,854,113	21,888,994
Netherlands	142,771,804	199,894,480	199,894,480	-	-
France	50,776,583	139,775,059	124,819,582	-	14,955,477
Switzerland	23,330,788	65,226,782	-	65,226,782	-
Hungary	27,133,723	17,117,587	11,412,891	-	5,704,695
Luxembourg	7,765,830	5,653,250	537,634	2,270,012	2,845,603
Iceland	3,948,062	3,948,062	1,198,562	2,749,501	-
Slovak Republic	716,846	1,468,649	1,194,743	-	273,906
Estonia	776,583	776,583	-	-	776,583
Slovenia	83,632	681,004	83,632	520,908	76,464
Croatia	400,000	400,000	400,000	-	-
European Commission	669,056,153	-	-	-	-
Totals	1,994,487,270	2,598,796,865	918,782,993	1,633,492,150	46,521,721

Loan Pledges and Contributions

At the Brussels V conference, a total of US\$7 billion of new loan funding was pledged for 2021 and beyond, which is on top of substantial loan pledges and contributions made in previous years. US\$6.8 billion (97%) of the total loan pledge for 2021 and beyond has already been made available as loan contributions. This comprises US\$2.2 billion in commitments, US\$2.6 billion in contracted loans

and US\$2 billion in loan disbursements. The two largest contributions made against the loan pledges for 2021 and beyond have been made by the World Bank (US\$4 billion), and the European Investment Bank (EIB) (US\$2.3 billion), which together account for 91% of the total loan contributions made to date against the loan pledge of the Brussels V conference.

Figure 4: Loan contributions against pledges, by lender 2021 and beyond




A key component of the tracking of loan funding is to track the concessional status of the loan financing, with concessional loans offering a further means to support the region. Loan financing to the region is ideally made on concessional terms either on IDA-equivalent terms or consistent with the revised OECD DAC principles agreed on

16 December 2014⁸. Of the total loan pledge for 2021 and beyond of US\$7 billion, the concessional status of the loan at the point of pledging was declared for US\$5.2 billion, and of this, 26% had already been declared to be concessional based loan financing.

⁸ See the following link for the OECD DAC principles: <https://www.oecd.org/dac/OECD%20DAC%20HLM%20Commu%20nique.pdf>

Figure 5: Loan pledges for 2021 and beyond, by donor and concessional status



4. Progress by Recipient Country

Grant Contributions

The financial contributions recorded in this report is being provided in Syria and to five neighbouring countries that are hosting Syrian refugees (Lebanon, Turkey, Jordan, Iraq, and Egypt). This includes support that is being provided through regional or multiple-country frameworks covering all or several of these countries.

When making the pledge at the annual conference, donors state a total amount in support of humanitarian, resilience, and development

activities for the crisis response for Syria and the neighbouring countries (*footnote to the pledging sheet*). The bulk of the pledge is therefore reported as 'Not geographically defined'. However, some donors shared additional information about their pledges broken down by recipient country. This helps to explain why at the end of the year, contributions very often exceed pledges at the level of individual recipient countries and why there is no or limited delivery on the 'not defined' pledges.

Figure 6: Grant contributions against pledges by recipient country, 2021





Figure 7: Grant contributions against pledges by recipient country, 2022 and beyond




Loan Contributions

As of December 2021, of the total 2021 and beyond loan pledge of US\$7 billion, 97% (US\$6.8 billion) has materialised as contributions for a specific country. Loan contributions to Egypt account for 21% (US\$1.5 billion) of the total loan pledge for 2021 and beyond. This accounts for most of the loan pledge made at the conference that was not

geographically defined at that time. Jordan accounts for 44% (US\$3.1 billion) of the total loan pledge for 2021 and beyond, and 61% (US\$4.2 billion) of the total contributions already realised against the new loan pledge for 2021 and beyond made at the conference.

Figure 8: Loans against pledges by recipient country, 2021 and beyond




5. In focus: Contributions to Jordan, Lebanon, Turkey, and Syria

Jordan

As of December 2021, out of a total grant pledge of US\$653 million for Jordan, US\$1.8 billion had been contributed, made up of US\$984 million of grants for 2021, and US\$798 million of grants for 2022 and beyond. The five largest grant contributing donors combined provided 76% (US\$752 million) of all

2021 grant contributions for Jordan, namely: USA 26% (US\$254 million), Germany 26% (US\$251 million), European Commission 11% (US\$111 million), UK 8% (US\$77 million), and Netherlands 6% (US\$58 million).


Figure 9: 2021 Grant contributions for Jordan by donor



Following the Brussels V conference, Jordan received by far the largest country specific pledge for loan financing, with a total loan pledge of US\$3 billion, nearly half of the entire loan pledge of US\$7 billion for 2021 and beyond. The World Bank is by far the largest lender to Jordan, providing 69% (US\$2.9 billion) of the loan financing contributed for Jordan to date in 2021. The World Bank has committed to structure most of the loan financing to

Jordan on concessional terms. Together with the World Bank, the other two loan pledges for Jordan were: France (US\$0.5 billion) and Italy (US\$60 million). As of December 2021, the loan contributions for Jordan totalled US\$4.2 billion, exceeding by 36% the total pledge. The two largest contributors to date are: the World Bank 69% (US\$2.9 billion), and the European Investment Bank 19% (US\$814 million).

Figure 10: Loan pledges against contributions for Jordan, 2021 and beyond



Lebanon

As of December 2021, out of a total grant pledge of US\$850 million for Lebanon, US\$2.1 billion had been contributed. This is made up of US\$1.5 billion in grants for 2021 and US\$599 million for grants for 2022 and beyond. The five largest grant contributing donors combined provided 79% (US\$1.2 billion) of all 2021 grant contributions, namely: USA 26% (US\$391 million), Germany 22%

(US\$329 million), European Commission 20% (US\$291 million), UK 6% (US\$88 million), and Netherlands 5% (US\$73 million). The total loan pledge to Lebanon of US\$1.4 billion for 2021 and beyond, was provided by only two funders the World Bank and Italy. Of this total pledge 76% has already been delivered as contributions, as of December 2021.

Figure 11: 2021 Grant contributions for Lebanon by donor





Figure 12: Loan pledges for Lebanon 2021 and beyond




Beirut Explosion

The deadly explosion at the Beirut port on 4 August 2020 has had an impact on both the Lebanese and Syrian refugee populations, with approximately one quarter of the casualties being Syrian refugees⁹. The capacity of Lebanon to meet the basic needs of vulnerable groups including refugees from Syria will continue to be affected by the consequences of the explosion for some time to come. All donors reported on how much of the 2021 grant contributions were made towards the

response to the Beirut explosion. As of December 2021, the reported total contributions towards this response were US\$98 million. The three largest grant contributing donors combined provided 95% (US\$93 million) of all 2021 grant contributions for the response to the Beirut explosion, made up of: European Commission 79% (US\$77 million), Canada 8% (US\$8 million), Denmark 4.8% (US\$5 million).

Figure 13: 2021 Grant contributions to the Beirut Explosion




⁹ EU reporting.
17

Turkey

As of December 2021, out of a total grant pledge for Turkey of US\$169 million, US\$1.5 billion has been contributed¹⁰. This is made up of US\$1.4 billion in grant contributions for 2021 and US\$82 million in grant contributions for 2022 and beyond. Three quarters of the total grant contributions for 2021 was provided by the European Commission, totalling

US\$1 billion (73% of the total grant contribution for 2021). Other important donors include, USA 12% (US\$163 million), Germany at 9% (US\$130 million) and UK at 2% (US\$33 million). An additional US\$18 million in new loan contributions from Germany, was provided for Turkey, for 2021 and beyond.

Figure 14: 2021 Grant contributions for Turkey by donor



Syria


The total grant contributions for Syria amount to US\$2.8 billion, which is made up of US\$2.5 billion of grant contributions in 2021 and US\$266 million in grant contributions for 2022 and beyond. The five largest contributing donors account for 79% (US\$2 billion) of the total 2021 grant contribution, made up

of USA contributing 38% (US\$957 million), Germany providing 21% (US\$536 million), European Commission 10% (US\$254 million), UK 5% (US\$122 million) and Norway 4% (US\$104 million).

¹⁰ This does not include the ongoing contributions being made to the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRIT), as these

contributions are being made against previous pledges made in 2019 or before.

Figure 15: 2021 Grant contributions for Syria by donor



6. Sectors


Of the total 2021 grant pledge of US\$4.4 billion for Syria and the region, total contributions amounted to US\$7.5 billion. Since some donors were unable to report on the sectoral breakdown of their contributions, this sectoral analysis is based on the breakdown of \$7.2 billion of the total US\$7.5 billion confirmed contributions, provided by forty grant donors.

Aside from the 37% of reported sectoral contributions that have been allocated to multi-

sectoral activities, the largest grant investments in 2021 were in education, other sectors and food. Half of the US\$676 in contributions to other sectors was provided to Turkey by the European Commission including US\$299 for basic needs and US\$36 in support of migration and border management. The breakdown of this data at the country level reveals that in all countries, except Turkey, the sectors with the largest contributions are multi-sectoral programmes¹¹.


¹¹ The labels in Figure 17 show the two largest sectoral contributions in each country across the region.

Figure 16: 2021 Grant contributions by sector¹²



¹² Half of all contributions recorded as sector category 'other' were provided to Turkey by the European Commission for basic needs and migration and border management.

Figure 17: 2021 Grant contributions by sector, and recipient country




COVID-19 Pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to have a dramatic impact on Syria and the region. The co-chairs statement at the Brussels V conference highlighted the additional challenges that Syria and the region are facing, with the worst socio-economic situation since the start of the conflict, caused by the compound impact of a decade of conflict and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. The declaration also highlighted the additional funding requirements resulting from the pandemic: “In light of increasing regional trends in the number of cases, continued scaling-up of the effective public health and social measures is vital. Conference participants highlighted the need to ensure that vaccines be provided equitably to reach the most vulnerable and frontline workers, including health and humanitarian

workers, across the country through the most direct means. This vaccination campaign should be fully funded without diverting funding from increasing humanitarian needs”¹³. For this report, all donors have reported on how much of the 2021 grant contributions were for the response to the COVID-19 pandemic. As of December 2021, the reported total contributions towards this response in 2021 was US\$505 million. The five largest grant contributing donors combined provided 94% (US\$473 million) of all 2021 grant contributions reported for the response to the COVID-19 pandemic, namely: USA 51% (US\$259 million), Japan 25% (US\$126 million), European Commission 9% (US\$45 million), Germany 6% (US\$28 million), and the UK 3% (US\$15 million).

¹³ This is a direct quotation from the co-chair’s declaration at the conclusion of the Brussels V conference. The full declaration can be found at: https://eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/20210330_b5c_co-chr_final_en_1.pdf

Figure 18: 2021 Grant Contributions for the Responses to COVID-19 Pandemic




7. Channels of Delivery

Since some donors were unable to report on the channel of delivery of their contributions, this analysis of channels of delivery is based on a breakdown of \$6.1 billion of the total US\$7.5 billion confirmed contributions, provided by the forty donors. The most commonly reported channel of delivery for 2021 grant contributions are the UN agencies, which implement 57% (US\$3.5 billion) of the total grant contributions. The breakdown of this


data at the country level reveals that UN agencies are the primary delivery channel (with a variety of implementing partners) in each country, followed by NGOs in Syria, Lebanon, Turkey and Egypt and followed by other channels of delivery in Jordan and Iraq. Notably Jordan continues to have the largest (9% of the total) contribution being delivered through government structures.

Figure 19: 2021 Grant contributions by delivery channel¹⁴



¹⁴ Contributions recorded under the delivery channel category 'other' are mostly contributions from Germany (43%) for Jordan and Iraq, but also include European Commission contributions to Iraq, UK contributions through the World Bank Trust Fund and the stabilisation programme, and Canadian contributions through multi-country programmes but delivery channel not selected.

Figure 20: 2021 Grant contributions, by delivery channel, by country




8. UN Coordinated Response Plans

The UN Syria Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP) responds to humanitarian needs within Syria, while the UN Regional Refugee and Resilience Plan (3RP) covers protection and humanitarian needs as well as resilience in the countries hosting refugees (Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon, and Turkey). They represent the largest combined and coordinated pillar of the humanitarian aid response architecture. These plans bring together national governments, UN, and non-governmental agencies to assess needs, develop strategic plans, deliver programmes, and mobilise international donor support for addressing the priority humanitarian and protection needs of Syrians in Syria and Syrian refugees and host communities in neighbouring countries.

The combined funding requirements to deliver all components of these response plans is US\$10.1 billion for 2021, made up of US\$ US\$5.9 billion for the 3RP and US\$4.2 billion for the Syria HRP. These plans have so far only been funded partly with a total confirmed funding of US\$3.6 billion¹⁵. The total grant contributions reported by donors as being channelled through the UN agencies under this financial tracking exercise was US\$3.5 billion. This discrepancy is due to the fact that the data collected for this report is from the donors and banks, and the UN collects their data separately.

¹⁵ Data sourced from UNHCR, UNDP, and the UN Financial Tracking System website: <https://fts.unocha.org/appeals/943/summary>

Figure 21: Requirements and contributions for Syria-related UN-coordinated response plans, 2021



Annexes

Annex A: Glossary

Term	Definition
Commitment	<p>A firm plan expressed in writing and backed by the necessary funds, carried out by an official donor to provide specified assistance to a recipient country government, organisation or implementing agency. In the context of the tracking reports, commitments refer to those funds which have been committed but not yet contracted or disbursed.</p> <p>In the case of loans, the amount committed by financial institutions should be understood as the amount of loans formally approved by their institutions.</p>
Contract	<p>A binding agreement signed between a donor and a recipient implementing institution, organisation, or agency to implement an action. Funds can then be disbursed on this basis. In the context of the tracking reports, contracted funding refers to those funds which have been contracted but not yet disbursed.</p> <p>In the case of loans, the amount contracted by financial institutions refers to the amount of loans formally signed with the borrower.</p>
Contribution	<p>For the purpose of the tracking reports, 'contributions' is used as a general term to refer to the sum of all funds reported as committed, contracted and disbursed.</p>
Disbursement	<p>Outgoing funds that are transferred to a recipient institution, organisation, or agency, following a commitment and/or a contract. In the context of the tracking report, disbursements refer to funds disbursed from the donor to the first-level recipient, not to the funds which are ultimately spent at the project level. Disbursements may depend on the progress of the respective projects and that achieved by respective implementing partners.</p> <p>In the case of loans, the disbursed amount by financial institutions refers to the amount transferred to the borrower.</p>
Grant	<p>Funding for which no repayment is required.</p>
Lending institutions	<p>All institutions that pledged and/or contributed loans as part of the Syria response. This includes multilateral development banks and government institutions.</p>
Loans	<p>Funding for which the recipient incurs a legal debt.</p>
Loans – concessional status	<p>The concessional status of a loan reflects the benefit to the borrower compared with a loan at market rate.</p> <p>Concessional loans' benefits can include a lower interest rate, a longer period in which the loan must be repaid and a delay to when the repayment must begin.</p>
Multi-country	<p>Pledges and funding labelled as 'multi-country' in the reports refer to instances where funding is directed (or will be directed) to two or more (but not all) specified countries in the Syria region. This differs from pledges and funding labelled as going to the 'region', which is specified as funding for the regional response by donors and may go to all countries in the region; as well as 'not defined', which refers to pledges and funding where no country or regional detail has been provided.</p>
Multi-sector	<p>In the context of sectoral disaggregation of grants and for the purposes of the tracking reports, multi-sector refers primarily to projects and activities with no one dominant sector and often applies to assistance for refugees provided and/or coordinated by the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). This definition is in line with the Inter-Agency Standing Committee's sectoral definitions.</p>
Multilateral development banks	<p>Multilateral development banks are supranational institutions established by a group of countries with the common task of fostering economic and social progress in developing countries by financing projects (in the form of loans or grants), supporting investment, generating capital, and providing technical expertise.</p>
Pledge	<p>In the case of grants, this refers to a non-binding announcement of an intended contribution or allocation by donors.</p>

Term	Definition
	In the case of loans, this represents a non-binding announcement of a lending target. Achieving set lending targets depends on the ability and willingness of the borrowing party to take out a loan.
Recipient country	The reports include analysis of pledges and funding by recipient country. This includes direct funding to the governments of recipient countries, as well as funding channelled through organisations working in the country, such as the UN, NGOs, the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC) and the private sector.
Region	In the context of the Brussels conference, 'Region' refers to Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon, and Turkey.
Unearmarked	In the context of the tracking reports, unearmarked refers to funding that is deliberately not directed to any particular sector by the donor. This differs from 'sector not specified' where details of sector-specific allocation are not available from the reports provided by the donors.

Annex B: Methodology

The pledges made by the international community at the fifth Brussels conference in support of Syria and the region are detailed in the Financial Annex to the Co-Chairs' Statement¹⁶.

The overall purpose of the ongoing financial tracking of the support to Syria and the region, is to contribute towards the strengthening of the adherence to the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) principles of aid effectiveness, specifically: national ownership; alignment; harmonisation; managing for results and mutual accountability. The specific objectives of this financial tracking that contribute to the achievement of the overall purpose, is the transparent monitoring of the following components of financial support to Syria and the region:

1. Realisation of non-binding donor grant and loan pledges into firm funding (grant or loan) contributions¹⁷ (committed, contracted, and disbursed).
2. Realisation of grant and loan contributions by country, sector, and delivery channel.
3. Concessional status of loans at each stage from pledge and each progressive stage in the progress of loan contributions (committed, contracted, and disbursed).
4. Realisation of grant and loan funding contributions (committed, contracted, and disbursed) from regional and country-specific multi-donor mechanisms.

The definitions of contributions have been applied rigorously throughout the data collection process and in the report. A non-binding pledge only becomes a contribution once it is committed, which is defined as: "a firm plan expressed in writing and backed by the necessary funds, carried out by an official donor to provide specified assistance to a recipient country government, organisation or implementing agency". The definition of a contribution also includes committed funds that have subsequently been contracted and then disbursed. This financial tracking report has applied the existing definitions of these terms comprehensively and consistently. The strict application of these definitions is critical to the achievement of all four of the objectives of this financial tracking, and a crystal-clear distinction between non-binding pledges and actual funds being realised is essential to meet the overall purpose of mutual accountability.

The definition of terms (Annex A: Glossary), approach and methodology followed for this round of financial tracking has replicated the stated

approach and methodology from the previous rounds of financial tracking, which encompass the following steps in the process:

1. The intended pledges of the donors and multi-lateral development banks were collected prior to the Brussels V conference, with the submission of Pledge Forms and online submissions.
2. During the Brussels V conference (30 March 2021) donors and banks publicly confirmed their pledges, which were recorded in real time, rapidly verified, and then the total pledge was released at the end of the conference in the Financial Annex to the Co-chairs Declaration.
3. Following the Financial Tracking Report No. 10, lessons learning meetings were held with several donors and banks to improve the data collection process and pilot test the new automated financial tracking forms. The forms were then finalised based on this learning before being distributed to all donors and banks.
4. On 14 February 2022, a detailed financial tracking form was sent for completion by all forty donors and two banks that made a pledge at the Brussels V conference, with clear instructions on how to complete the forms. The form included locked information and fully automated validation mechanism highlighting inconsistencies in the data for consideration, and confirmation by the relevant donor during completion. Donors were also required to provide detailed comments on any residual inconsistencies in the data. The donors and banks were requested to return completed forms with all the required data by 7 March 2022, to complete the financial tracking exercise in time to publish the report ahead of the Brussels VI Conference in May 2022.
5. As soon as data was received it went through a robust validation process before being entered on to the financial tracking database. The contribution data was validated on the basis that firm commitments require written confirmations and requisite resources available, committed to specific countries (or multi-country or regional), sectors, and delivery channels. Any inconsistencies in total contributions between tables was automatically highlighted and when required further clarifications were requested from each donor if the comments did not fully explain the issues highlighted.

¹⁶

https://eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/pledging_statement_financial_annex_b5c_0.pdf

¹⁷ See Annex A – Glossary for detailed definition of all terms used.

6. Once the data was validated, it was entered on to the database¹⁸, and then analysed and graphed, and finally included in the report.

The robust data collection and validation process has enabled any gaps or deficiencies in data to be identified and assessed in detail to determine how to resolve the deficiency. All remaining gaps or anomalies in data are noted in the respective section of the report, with the full details of the anomaly being noted in footnotes throughout the report. This full disclosure on the strength of the data presented allows any independent reader to interrogate the analysis and draw conclusions with a full knowledge of the strength of the data presented.

The collection of a universal set of data from all donors and banks has enabled the analysis to rely solely on the universal primary data collected, with external secondary data sources from the UN only being used to compare and triangulate the data collected on the UN delivery channel.

The US\$ and Euro amounts for pledges are taken directly from the Financial Annex to the Co-chairs statement from the conference. The UN Operational Exchange Rates were not updated at the end of March 2021 when the conference occurred and so the rates from two weeks previously on 15 March 2021 were used in the pledge statement and for all financial data analysed in this report

For contributions in the years 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, the average UN Operational Exchange Rate for the respective year is used. For contributions in 2021 and beyond the UN Operational Rate for the day of the conference (30 June 2020) is also used, to ensure comparability of pledge and contribution data.

The UN appeal information has been sourced from the UN Office Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) Financial Tracking System (FTS). Funding figures for the Syria 3RP in FTS might differ from the UNHCR funding snapshots due to time lags in data collection.

The sectoral analysis of grants in the report uses sector classifications that are specific to this tracking project and are consistent with previous reports to enable longitudinal analysis. The classification of sectors is informed by the OECD

DAC sectors and purpose codes, and the Inter-Agency Standing Committee¹⁹ standard sectors. The sectors used for this analysis of grants include the following:

- education
- health
- water and sanitation
- governance and civil society
- social and cultural infrastructure
- economic recovery and infrastructure (including agriculture, mine action and livelihoods)
- food
- coordination and support services
- protection/human rights/rule of law
- shelter and non-food items
- multi-sector
- unearmarked
- not yet specified
- other

Funding that does not fall under any of these sector categories are combined into 'other', with additional detail provided by each institution in their data submission reports.

¹⁸ The information management system designed and used for this financial tracking exercise was as follows: Macros and other extract, transform and load (ELT) functions were used to automatically extract input cells from each of the data reports regardless of whether they contained reported data.

- 225 cells potentially containing numerical data from each Grant form 2021 (Tables 1, 3 & 4)
- 225 cells potentially containing numerical data from each Grant form 2021 onwards (Tables 1, 3 & 4)
- 423 cells potentially containing numerical data from each Loans form 2021 onwards (Tables 1-4)

Not all donors completed all data sheets and so the Grants Database for 2021 and 2022 and beyond contained 16,875 rows of which 1,619 rows contained reported data. The final Loans Database contained 2,961 rows, of which 52 contained data. Data tables were joined using the vertical concatenation function in JMP v14.2 and were then analysed with the tabulate function and graph builder.

¹⁹ The Inter-Agency Standing Committee is the primary mechanism for inter-agency coordination of humanitarian assistance involving key UN and non-UN humanitarian partners.