



**Country of intervention:** BOLIVIA **Partner:** WORLD VISION Germany - in consortium with: ACH-ES & GVC-IT


**Title of the Action:** Strengthening of community resilience to floods in Beni and Mamoré watersheds **Thematic:** Resilience to floods

**Area of Intervention:** Department of Beni: Municipalities of Riberalta, San Borja, Guayaramerin, San Ignacio, Trinidad, Santa Ana de Yacuma, San Javier, Loreto, Santa Rosa, Exaltación and Reyes.  
 Department of La Paz: Municipalities of Ixiamas and San Buenaventura


**Start date:** 01-May-2015 **End date:** 31-Dec-2016 **Duration:** 20 months **Beneficiaries:** 229.326 individuals / 332 organizations

<b>Budget Euros €</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>€ 1.437.500,00</b>	<b>European Commission ECHO contribution</b>	<b>€ 1.150.000,00</b> 80% of total funding	<b>Partners and other donors contribution</b>	<b>€ 287.500,00</b> 20% of total funding
-----------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	--	---	---	---

**Budget analysis per result:**



**Map of area of intervention**



**Contact info:** **Name: Grover Yopez**  
 Position: Responsible of Humanitarian Programme  
 World Vision Bolivia  
 Address: Av. Hernando Siles esquina Calle 15, Obrajes - La Paz  
 Tel: + (591) 22783214 / 22783191  
 E-mail: [grover\\_yopez@wvi.org](mailto:grover_yopez@wvi.org)



**Focus of the intervention**

Specific objective:	Objectively verifiable indicators	
Improve the conditions that prevent vulnerable communities of Beni and Mamoré from being resilient to floods.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 90 % of population who are: a) aware of rights and responsibilities in emergency situation and in DRR and b) perceive that they are in disaster prone area and c) able to react adequately.</li> <li>2. At the end of the action 15.000 families from 25 communities have strengthened their knowledge capacities, skills, experiences and links to protect, preserve and enrich their livelihoods.</li> <li>3. 90 % of vulnerable groups who participate in development of practice and revision of first evaluation assessments, practice and revision of preparedness and contingency plan</li> </ol>	
SUB SECTOR	RESULT	INDICATORS
Local disaster management components / Institutional linkages and advocacy / Information, education, communication / Small-scale infrastructure and services / Constituting stocks of emergency and relief items / Livelihoods and economic assets protection	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Vulnerable communities have strengthened application and increase sustainability of flood resilience practices</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.1 90 % of children who report that they feel they are protected by family and/or community during a recent disaster and feel prepared to cope with future disasters</li> <li>1.2 90% of vulnerable groups (not children) who report that they feel they are protected by family and/or community during a recent disaster and feel prepared to cope with future disasters</li> <li>1.3 90% of families of vulnerable communities in selected municipalities that increment their production thanks to the application of more resilient ways of producing.</li> <li>1.4 90 % of institutions that adopt resilient nutrition and food availability practices in their programs and transfer these practices to families and communities (specifically vulnerable groups).</li> <li>1.5 60% households with access to clean and safe water during the entire Disaster Risk Management Cycle</li> </ol>
Institutional linkages and advocacy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. The relevant actors of the different levels of DRM and the cooperation amongst them are strengthened.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2.1 90% of children and vulnerable groups who participate in development, practice and revision of preparedness and contingency plan</li> <li>2.2 Number (15) of inter-institutional protocols for the coordination of preparedness and response validated in accordance with the recently approved regulations and in use.</li> </ol>
Local Disaster Management/ Institutional linkages and advocacy / Information, education, communication	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Humanitarian actors of community, municipal, departmental and national level and development actors manage useful and appropriate information during the entire Disaster Risk Management Cycle</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.1 90%of children and vulnerable groups who participate in development of community risk assessments and maps</li> <li>3.2 At the end of the project number (16) of relevant levels (1 national, 2 departmental, 13 municipal) of interaction of the EWARS (Early Warning Alert and Response System) strengthened definition of their roles and comply with their roles in accordance with the current national regulation for DRR.</li> <li>3.3 Number of communities (220) that apply standardized models in their contributions to first evaluation assessments, previously agreed on with all relevant government levels (municipal, departmental, national).</li> </ol>
Institutional linkages and advocacy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. The different development actors coordinate adequately with public actors and humanitarian agencies in the development of plans and strategies for rehabilitation and recuperation of possibly affected communities.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4.1 At the end of the project a number of municipal committees (18) and a number of departmental committees function adequately with regard to their specific role of coordinating rehabilitation and reconstruction planning and with participation of all relevant development actors in accordance with the current regulation (Law 602).</li> <li>4.2 At the end of the project there is a multi-level protocol in place for the definition of strategies for rehabilitation and reconstruction with participation of all relevant development actors in the different relevant sectors.</li> </ol>



<b>Main activities:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.1 Strengthening of social protection processes for vulnerable groups in emergency situations with all potential response bodies.</li><li>1.2 Activation of initiatives for the generation of alternative and/or complementary sources of income for vulnerable families and IDPs.</li><li>1.3 Appreciation of environmental assets and opportunities for ecotourism for the improvement of livelihood opportunities.</li><li>2.1 2.1 Strengthening of the coordination amongst operational structures at the following levels: governmental local, departmental and national bodies, the military, faith-based organizations and churches, organizations of farmers, representative organizations of indigenous populations and community-based organizations.</li><li>2.2 Generation and dissemination of action protocols amongst humanitarian actors/institutions at community, municipal, departmental and national level.</li><li>2.3 Capacity building and strengthening of the operators of the entities involved in the different phases of the Disaster Risk Management Cycle.</li><li>3.1 Training of RMUs (Risk Management Units- at municipal level) and transverse units for information management in different phases of the Disaster Risk Management Cycle.</li><li>3.2 Definition of standardized first evaluation assessments with vulnerable communities and dissemination of formats and methods</li><li>3.3 Strengthening of mechanisms for generation and dissemination of information of the Early Warning System (EWS) and dissemination of improved mechanisms to communities, and indigenous populations.</li><li>4.1 Strengthening of multilevel coordination for rehabilitation and reconstruction.</li></ul>
-------------------------	---

**DISCLAIMER:**

***The information included in this fiche has been extracted from the Single Form of the project. The purpose to share this information is only oriented to enhance cooperation and coordination mechanisms among humanitarian organizations and other donors in the country of intervention. To share this information is necessary the acceptance by the concerned partner in the field.***